UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No.	08-8136

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

DONOVAN CUNNINGHAM, a/k/a Roger,

Defendant - Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Maryland, at Baltimore. William D. Quarles, Jr., District Judge. (1:05-cr-00261-WDQ; 1:07-cv-3434-WDQ)

Submitted: May 28, 2009 Decided: June 3, 2009

Before WILKINSON, KING, and GREGORY, Circuit Judges.

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Donovan Cunningham, Appellant Pro Se. Philip S. Jackson, Allen F. Loucks, Assistant United States Attorneys, Baltimore, Maryland, for Appellee.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Donovan Cunningham seeks to appeal the court's orders denying relief on his 28 U.S.C.A. § 2255 (West Supp. 2008) motion and summarily denying his subsequent Fed. R. Civ. P. 59(e) motion for reconsideration. The orders are not a circuit justice or appealable unless judge issues certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1) (2000). Α certificate of appealability will not issue absent substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2000). A prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists would find that any assessment of the constitutional claims by the district court is debatable or wrong and that any dispositive procedural ruling by the district court is likewise debatable. Miller-El v. Cockrell, 537 U.S. 322, 336-38 (2003); Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); Rose v. Lee, 252 F.3d 676, 683-84 (4th Cir. 2001). We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Cunningham has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED